

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,803 07/22/2003 Arnold Keller 246472005200 5304 EXAMINER 06/29/2005 7590 Barry E. Bretschneider RAMANA, ANURADHA Morrison & Foerster LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Suite 300 1650 Tysons Boulevard 3732 McLean, VA 22102

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,803	KELLER, ARNOLD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anu Ramana	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	IV IS SET TO EVOIDE 21	MONTH(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	<u>July 2003</u> .	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vor election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner, Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		/ Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/6/04.	6)	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,803

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The specification is objected to because of its layout.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a)

Application/Control Number: 10/623,803

Art Unit: 3732

and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "the AP direction" does not have a reference point.

Claim 3 is vague and indefinite because "the dorsal side" and the "ventral side" do not have a reference point. The structural interaction of the various elements is not clearly recited.

Claim 4 is vague and indefinite because "the dorsal side" does not have a reference point.

It is further noted that reference numerals within parentheses while permitted in claims cannot be used as a substitute for description of structure.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marnay et al. (US 2004/0117022A1).

Marnay et al. disclose an intervertebral implant with two cover plates (11, 30) and a prosthesis core 50 wherein the core is connected to plate 30 by "connection profiles" (33, 34) (Figs. 10, 11 and 13 and paras [0041] – [052]).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARAmuadha Ramara June 25, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700